arXiv:math/0506439v1 [math.AG] 22 Jun 2005

NON-VANISHING OF THE TWISTED COHOMOLOGY ON THE COMPLEMENT OF HYPERSURFACES

YUKIHITO KAWAHARA

Abstract. Under the generic situation, the cohomology with the coefficients in the local system on complements of hypersurfaces vanishes except in the highest dimension. Our problem is of when the local system cohomology does not vanish. In the case of arrangements of hyperplanes, many examples were founded. In this paper, we shall generalize their examples to hypersurfaces. We obtain that hypersurfaces given by some linear system have non-vanishing local system cohomologies.

1. Introduction

Let $V_1, \dots, V_m$ be hypersurfaces in the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ of dimension n and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a complex local system of rank one over the complement $M = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m V_i$. In [A, KN, Ch, Di], we know vanishing theorems of the twisted cohomology that is the cohomology with the coefficients in the local system $\mathcal{L}$ over M as follows. Under the generic situation for $V_1, \dots, V_m$, if $\mathcal{L}$ is non-trivial and generic, then the twisted cohomology on M vanishes except in the highest dimension:

$$H^k(M, \mathcal{L}) = 0 \qquad \text{for } k \neq n.$$

In particular, vanishing theorems for the case of hyperplanes was found in [Ko, Yu, CDO, Ka2] (cf. [ESV, STV]). Recently, arrangements of hyperplanes with non-vanishing twisted cohomology were studied well and many examples were found (cf. [CS, Fa, LY, Ka3]). [Yu2] implied that most of them consist of special elements of pencils in $\mathbb{P}^2$. In this paper we generarize it to hypersurefaces in the general dimension. We shall show that hypersurfaces that is the support of some divisors in some linear system have non-vanishing twisted cohomologies besides the top dimension.

Let Ω_M denote the sheaf of germs of holomorphic forms on M and let $\mathcal{O}_M = \Omega_M^0$. Let $D_1, \dots, D_m$ be effective divisors on $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that the support of D_i is V_i for i and D_i and D_j are linearly equivalent for $i \neq j$. In this case, D_i's have the same degree (see [H]). Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a complex weight with $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 0$. For $i \neq j$, we have $D_i - D_j$ is the divisor of some rational function f_{ij}. Fix j and define the global one-form $\omega_\lambda = \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_i d\log f_{ij}$. This is independent on the choice of j and then we can denote

$$\omega_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i d\log D_i \in \Gamma(M, \Omega_M^1), \qquad \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 0.$$

We can define the flat connection $\nabla_\lambda = d + \omega_\lambda \wedge : \mathcal{O}_M \to \Omega_M^1$ and define the local system $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ by its kernel. Since M is a Stein manifold, we have

$$H^k(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \simeq H^k(\Gamma(M, \Omega_M), \nabla_\lambda)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F40; Secondary 14C20, 32S22.

Key words and phrases. local system, twisted cohomology, linear system, hypersurface complement, hyperplane arrangement.

and $H^k(M,\mathcal{L}_\lambda)=0$ for $k>n$ (cf. [De]).

Theorem 1. *Let $1<n<s\le m$. Let $D_1,\dots,D_m$ be effective divisors on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with same degree and let M be the complement of their supports. Suppose*

- (A1) *$D_1,\dots,D_s$ are elements of some linear system Λ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with dimension $n-1$.*
- (A2) *There exist a base point P of Λ such that $D_1\cdots D_n$ is normal crossing locally at P and D_i does not pass through P for $i=s+1,\dots,m$.*
- (A3) *$D_1,\dots,D_s$ are in general position as points in $\Lambda=\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*

If λ is a non-trivial weight, that is, $\lambda\notin\mathbb{Z}^m$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^s\lambda_i=0$ and $\lambda_i=0$ for $i=s+1,\dots,m$, then we have

$$\dim H^{n-1}(M,\mathcal{L}_\lambda)\ge\binom{s-2}{n-1}.$$

Moreover, if $s<m$ then we have

$$\dim H^{n}(M,\mathcal{L}_\lambda)\ge\binom{s-2}{n-1}.$$

Remark. If the support of $\sum_{i=1}^m D_i$ contains a hyperplane H, we can consider M as the complement of affine hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^n=\mathbb{P}^n\setminus H$. The setting of the affine hypersurfaces case was found in [KN, Ki] (cf. Section 5).

Remark. Let $D_1,\dots,D_m$ be divisors of degree d defined only by hyperplanes. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of irreducible components of the support of $\sum_{i=1}^m D_i$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is an arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $M=\mathbb{P}^n\setminus\cup_{H\in\mathcal{A}}H$. If $D_1,\dots,D_m$ are divisors of degree one, then they are defined by hyperplanes and this result is known (cf. [Fa]).

2. PRELIMINARY: RATIONAL FORMS

Let V be a vector space of dimension ℓ over a field K of characteristic zero. Let $S=K[V]$ be the symmetric algebra of V^* and let $F=K(V)$ be the quotient field of S. We consider S as the polynomial algebra and F as the field of rational functions on V. Let $\Omega(V)=\oplus_{p=0}^{\ell}\Omega^p(V)$ be the exterior algebra of F-vector space $F\otimes V^*$ and let d be the usual differential. When we choose a basis $x_1,\dots,x_\ell$ of V^*, we have $S=K[x_1,\dots,x_\ell]$, $F=K(x_1,\dots,x_\ell)$,

$$df=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\otimes x_i=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}dx_i\qquad\text{for } f\in F,$$

$\Omega^0(V)=F$, $\Omega^1(V)=F\otimes V^*=Fdx_1\oplus\cdots\oplus Fdx_\ell$, and, $\Omega^p(V)=\oplus_{i_1<\cdots<i_p}Fdx_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_{i_p}$. For $p\ge 2$ and $\omega_1,\dots,\omega_p\in\Omega^1(V)$, define

$$\Delta[\omega_1:\cdots:\omega_p]:=\sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}\omega_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{\omega_k}\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_p.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $p\ge 2$ and $\omega_1,\dots,\omega_p\in\Omega^1(V)$.*

1. *For a permutation σ of $\{1,\dots,p\}$, we have*
$$\Delta\left[\omega_{\sigma(1)}:\cdots:\omega_{\sigma(p)}\right]=\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)\Delta[\omega_1:\cdots:\omega_p].$$
2. *If $2\le j\le p-2$, then*
$$\begin{aligned}\Delta[\omega_1:\cdots:\omega_p]=&\Delta[\omega_1:\cdots:\omega_j]\wedge\omega_{j+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_p\\&+(-1)^j\omega_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega_j\wedge\Delta[\omega_{j+1}:\cdots:\omega_p].\end{aligned}$$
3. $\Delta[\omega_1:\cdots:\omega_p]=-(\omega_1-\omega_2)\wedge\Delta[\omega_2:\cdots:\omega_p]$.
4. $\Delta[\omega_1:\cdots:\omega_p]=(-1)^{p-1}(\omega_1-\omega_2)\wedge(\omega_2-\omega_3)\wedge\cdots\wedge(\omega_{p-1}-\omega_p)$.

(5) $\Delta[\omega_1 : \cdots : \omega_p] = (\omega_2 - \omega_1) \wedge (\omega_3 - \omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\omega_p - \omega_1)$.
(6) $\omega_1 \wedge \Delta[\omega_1 : \cdots : \omega_p] = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p$.

Since (6), if $\Delta[\omega_1 : \cdots : \omega_p] = 0$ then $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_p$ is F-linearly dependent. However, the inverse is not true in general. The rational function $f \in F$ is said to be *homogeneous of degree* d, if $f = g_1/g_2$ with homogeneous polynomials g_1, g_2 and $d = \deg g_1 - \deg g_2$.

Lemma 3. *Assume $p \geq 2$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_p \in F \setminus K$ are homogeneous of same degree. Then $\Delta[df_1/f_1 : \cdots : df_p/f_p] = 0$, if and only if, $df_1/f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_p/f_p = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_p \in \Omega^1(V)$. By the direct computation, we can obtain

(1) $\Delta[\omega_1 : \cdots : \omega_p] = 0$, if and only if, there exists $(g_1, \ldots, g_p) \in F^p \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$ such that $g_1 + \cdots + g_p = 0$ and $g_1\omega_1 + \cdots + g_p\omega_p = 0$.

Take $\omega_i = df_i/f_i$ and $g_i = h_i f_i$. Then we get

(2) For $p \geq 2$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_p \in F \setminus K$, we have $\Delta[df_1/f_1 : \cdots : df_p/f_p] = 0$, if and only if, there exists $(h_1, \ldots, h_p) \in F^p \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$ such that $h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_p f_p = 0$ and $h_1 df_1 + \cdots + h_p df_p = 0$.

When f_i's are homogeneous of same degree, by using the Euler derivation, $h_1 df_1 + \cdots + h_p df_p = 0$ induces $h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_p f_p = 0$. □

In particular, if $c_1 f_1 + \cdots + c_p f_p = 0$ for some $(c_1, \ldots, c_p) \in K^p \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$, then $\Delta[df_1/f_1 : \cdots : df_p/f_p] = 0$. If $f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_p^{n_p} = 1$ for some non-zero integers $n_1, \ldots, n_p$ then $\Delta[df_1/f_1 : \cdots : df_p/f_p] = 0$ also.

Remark. Δ is a natural generalization of the linear derivation on Orlik-Solomon Algebras ([OT]), which is in the degree one case.

3. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $[x_0 : x_1 : \ldots : x_n]$ be homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^n$. We can assume D_i is given by a homogeneous polynomial $F_i(x)$ of degree d. So we can write $\omega_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i dF_i/F_i$. It is easy to check that $dF_i/F_i - dF_j/F_j$ and ω_λ are global forms. For $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_p \leq m$, define a holomorphic form on M by

$$\eta[i_1, \ldots, i_p] := \Delta\left[\frac{dF_{i_1}}{F_{i_1}} : \cdots : \frac{dF_{i_p}}{F_{i_p}}\right].$$

Since Lemma 2 (4), if it is not zero then $\eta[i_1, \ldots, i_p]$ is a global $(p-1)$-form. By (A1) and (A3), $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ becomes a basis of the vector subspace of $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ defining the linear system Λ. So we can write $F_j = a_{1j}F_1 + \cdots + a_{nj}F_n$ for some constant a_{ij} and define the $n \times s$-matrix $A = (a_{ij})$. By (A3), any $n \times n$-minor of A is not zero. Due to Lemma 3, we have $\eta[i_1, \ldots, i_{n+1}] = 0$ for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n+1} \leq s$. Because we can write $\omega_\lambda = \sum_{i \neq i_1} \lambda_i (dF_i/F_i - dF_{i_1}/F_{i_1})$, using Lemma 3, we have $\omega_\lambda \wedge \eta[i_1, \ldots, i_n] = 0$ and then $\nabla_\lambda(\eta[i_1, \ldots, i_n]) = 0$ for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq s$. We note that, for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq s$, by (A2) and (A3), we have $dF_{i_1}/F_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{i_n}/F_{i_n} \neq 0$ and, by Lemma 3, $\eta[i_1, \ldots, i_n] \neq 0$. Thus, $\eta[i_1, \ldots, i_n]$ is a ∇_λ-closed $(n-1)$-form for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq s$.

By (A2), we take a local neighborhood U and coordinates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ at P such that D_i is defined by $x_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $\alpha_j(x) = a_{1j}x_1 + \cdots + a_{nj}x_n$ and $H_j = \{\alpha_j(x) = 0\}$. So we get the central arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \{H_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq s}$ of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^n \simeq U$, ($\cap_{i=1}^s H_i$ is the origin). Let $M(\mathcal{A})$ denote the complement of an arrangement $\mathcal{A}$. Then we have $H^k(U \cap M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{U \cap M}) = H^k(M(\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda)$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ is the rank one local system on $M(\mathcal{A})$ whose monodromy around the hyperplane H_j is $\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_j)$. Since λ is non-trivial and $\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i = 0$, without loss of generality, we may assume that λ_1 and λ_s are not integers. Now, choosing

$H_1 \in \mathcal{A}$, we get the deconing $\mathbf{d}\,\mathcal{A}$ (see [OT]), which is an arrangement of $s-1$ affine hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq H_1$. Note that $M(\mathcal{A}) \simeq M(\mathbf{d}\,\mathcal{A}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ by the restriction of the Hopf bundle. Since any $n \times n$-minor of A is not zero, $\mathcal{A}$ is generic and $\mathbf{d}\,\mathcal{A}$ is in general position ([OT]). On the other hand, for the integer weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i = 0$, we know that the local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ is equivalent to the local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda+k}$ associated to the integer shift weight $\lambda + k$ (see [OT2]). By shifting a weight if necessary, we can assume that $\lambda \notin (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^n$. Since $H^k(M(\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda) \simeq H^k(M(\mathbf{d}\,\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda) \oplus H^{k-1}(M(\mathbf{d}\,\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda)$ (cf. [Fa]), in this case, the following is known.

Lemma 4 (cf. [Ha, KN, Ki, Ka])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{H_j = \{\alpha_j = 0\} : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ be a generic arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $M(\mathcal{A})$ be its complement. For a complex weight $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ such that $\lambda_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda_s \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i = 0$, we have*

1. $H^k(M(\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda) = 0$ *for* $k \neq n, n-1$,
2. $H^n(M(\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda) \simeq H^{n-1}(M(\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda)$ *and* $\dim H^n = \dim H^{n-1} = \binom{s-2}{n-1}$.
3. $\{e_1 \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-1}} : 1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-1} < s\}$ *is a basis of* H^n.
4. $\{\Delta[e_1 : e_{i_1} : \cdots : e_{i_{n-1}}] : 1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-1} < s\}$ *is a basis of* H^{n-1},

where $e_j = d\alpha_j/\alpha_j$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda$ is the rank one local system on $M(\mathcal{A})$ whose monodromy around the hyperplane H_j is $\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\lambda_j)$.

Note that $H^k(M(\mathcal{A}), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the twisted de Rham cohomology defined by the one form $e_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_j e_j$ (see [OT2]) and that $\omega_\lambda|_U = e_\lambda$ and $\eta[i_1, \dots, i_n]|_U = \Delta[e_{i_1} : \cdots : e_{i_n}]$. Now suppose that there exists a global $(n-2)$-form α such that $\eta[i_1, \dots, i_n] = \nabla_\lambda(\alpha)$. Then restricting it to U, we have $\Delta[e_{i_1} : \cdots : e_{i_n}] = \tilde{\nabla}_\lambda(\alpha|_U)$ where $\tilde{\nabla}_\lambda = d + e_\lambda \wedge$. However, by the above Lemma, this is a contradiction. Therefore $\eta[i_1, \dots, i_n]$ defines a non-vanishing class of degree $n-1$ for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq s$. In a similar fashion, we obtain $\{\eta[1, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}] : 1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-1} < s\}$ is independent in $H^{n-1}(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$.

Assume $s < m$ and fix m. Take $\eta[m, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq s$. It is easy to see that $\eta[m, i_1, \dots, i_n]|_U = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_n}$. Therefore, $\eta[m, i_1, \dots, i_n]$ defines a non-vanishing class of degree n. By the same way, using the above Lemma, we have $\{\eta[m, 1, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}] : 1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-1} < s\}$ is independent in $H^n(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$. This completes the proof. □

If a weight λ is trivial then $H^k(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the usual de Rham cohomology $H^k(M)$ on M.

Corollary 5. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1, we have*

$$\dim H^k(M) \geq \binom{s-1}{k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Moreover, if $s < m$ then we have

$$\dim H^n(M) \geq \binom{s-1}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim H^k(M) \geq \binom{s}{k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 1, since $\mathcal{A}$ is the generic arrangement of s hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbf{d}\,\mathcal{A}$ is in general position, the following is known ([OT]).

1. $\dim H^k(M(\mathcal{A})) = \binom{s}{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\dim H^k(M(d\mathcal{A})) = \binom{s-1}{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$,
2. $H^k(M(\mathcal{A})) \simeq H^k(M(d\mathcal{A})) \oplus H^{k-1}(M(d\mathcal{A}))$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and $H^n(M(\mathcal{A})) \simeq H^{n-1}(M(d\mathcal{A}))$,
3. $\{e_1 \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n-1}} : 1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-1} \leq s\}$ is a basis of $H^n(M(\mathcal{A}))$.
4. $\{\Delta[e_1 : e_{i_1} : \cdots : e_{i_k}] : 1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq s\} \cup \{e_1 \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}} : 1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{k-1} \leq s\}$ is a basis of $H^k(M(\mathcal{A}))$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Since $\eta[i_1, \dots, i_k]$ is d-closed, the same argument leads this corollary. $\square$

4. Generalization

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the arrangement of (affine or projective) hyperplanes. The *intersection set* $L(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of nonempty intersections of elements of $\mathcal{A}$. For $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$, define a central arrangement $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid X \subset H\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a central arrangement with center $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{C}} H \neq \emptyset$. We call $\mathcal{C}$ *decomposable* if there exist nonempty subarrangements $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ so that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$ is a disjoint union and after a linear coordinate change the defining polynomials for $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ have no common variables. Define $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \in L(\mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A}_X \text{ is not decomposable.}\}$. For a complex weight λ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$, denote $\lambda_X = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}_X} \lambda_H$. The construction of a basis of the twisted cohomology for arrangements given by [FT] (cf. [OT2]), by the same way of proof of Theorem 1, induces the following.

Theorem 6. *Let $1 < n < s \leq m$. Let $D_1, \dots, D_m$ be effective divisors on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with same degree and let M be the complement of their supports. Suppose (A1) and (A2). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the arrangement of hyperplanes in the dual projective space $\Lambda^* = (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^* \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ defined by $D_1, \dots, D_s$. Let λ be a non-trivial weight such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i = 0$ and $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = s+1, \dots, m$. If $\lambda_X \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for every $X \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{A})$, then we have*

$$\dim H^{n-1}(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \geq \beta,$$

and moreover, if $s < m$ then we have

$$\dim H^{n}(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \geq \beta,$$

where β is the Euler characteristic $\chi(M(\mathcal{A}))$ of $M(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \setminus \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$.

Remark. Note that β is known as the beta invariant of the underlying matroid of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is defined over real then β is the number of bounded chambers in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \setminus H$ for fixed $H \in \mathcal{A}$ (see [STV, OT2]).

Remark. Note that $\lambda_H \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for all hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$. We can generalize this theorem in the case that there is $H \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\lambda_H = 0$, by using [Ka2].

5. Affine case

Let $V_1^a, \dots, V_m^a$ be hypersurfaces in the complex affine space $\mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Denote $V^a = \cup_{i=1}^m V_i^a$ and $M = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus V^a$. Assume that a polynomial $f_j(u)$ of degree d_i defines V_j^a. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a weight and let $\omega_\lambda^a = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i df_i/f_i$. Then we obtain the twisted de Rham complex $(\Omega(*V^a), \nabla_\lambda^a)$ where $\Omega(*V^a)$ is the space of rational forms with poles along V^a and $\nabla_\lambda^a = d + \omega_\lambda^a \wedge$. The Grothendiek-Deligue comparison theorem ([De]) asserts

$$H^k(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda^a) \simeq H^k(\Omega(*V^a), \nabla_\lambda^a),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^a$ is the rank one local system defined by the flat connection ∇_λ^a (cf. [KN, Ki]).

Let $\mathbb{P}^n$ be the complex projective space with the infinite hyperplane H_∞, which is a compactification of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $[x_0 : \dots : x_n]$ denote homogeneous coordinates with $H_\infty = \{x_0 = 0\}$. Define the homogeneous polynomial $F_j(x) = x_0^d f_j(x_1/x_0, \dots, x_n/x_0)$ of degree $d = \max(d_1, \dots, d_m)$. Then $F_j(x)$ determines the divisor D_j of degree d. If $d = d_1 = \cdots = d_m$ then the support of $\sum_{i=1}^m D_i$ does not contain H_∞, otherwise it contains H_∞. Note that the weight of H_∞ is given by $-\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i d_j$. If $d = d_1 = \cdots = d_m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 0$ then the weight of H_∞ is zero.

Corollary 7. *Under the assumption in Theorem 1, if a point P in (A2) is not on H_∞, then we have*

$$\dim H^{n-1}(M^a, \mathcal{L}^a_\lambda) \geq \binom{s-2}{n-1}$$

for a non-trivial weight λ with $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i = 0$ and $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = s+1, \ldots, m$.

6. EXAMPLES

6.1. $n = 2$ **and** $s = 3$**.** Let D_1, D_2 and D_3 be irreducible prime divisors on $\mathbb{P}^2$ defined by $F_1 = x_0^2 + x_1^2 - 2x_2^2$, $F_2 = x_0^2 + 2x_1^2 - 3x_2^2$ and $F_3 = 2x_0^2 + x_1^2 - 3x_2^2$, respectively. They are three generic elements of the pencil of conic curves $F_{[a:b]} = a(x_0^2 - x_2^2) + b(x_1^2 - x_2^2)$ and they intersect transversally each other at each four intersection points. Let $M = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{3} D_i$ and $M^a = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{3}(D_i \cap \mathbb{C}^2)$ where $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{x_2 = 0\}$. We have $H^1(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \neq 0$ and $H^1(M^a, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \neq 0$ for a non-trivial weight λ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$. In the following case, we can get it also.

1. $F_1 = x_0^2 + x_1^2 - 2x_2^2$, $F_2 = x_0^2 + 2x_1^2 - 3x_2^2$ and $F_3' = x_0^2 - x_1^2$ (two conics and a set of 2-lines).
2. $F_1 = x_0^2 + x_1^2 - 2x_2^2$, $F_2' = x_2^2 - x_0^2$ and $F_3' = x_0^2 - x_1^2$ (one conics and two sets of 2-lines).
3. $F_1' = x_1^2 - x_2^2$, $F_2' = x_2^2 - x_0^2$ and $F_3' = x_0^2 - x_1^2$ (three sets of 2-lines).

In the last case, it is an arrangement of 6 lines in the Ceva Theorem. In a similar fashion, we get the following. In the degree three case, we get an arrangement of 9 lines in the Pappus Theorem ([Fa]). In the degree four case, there are two different arrangements of 12 lines in the Kirkman Theorem and the Steiner Theorem ([Ka3]). Those arrangements are 3-nets, whose combinatorial structures are matroids associated to Latin squares ([LY, Yu2, Ka3]). In the other hand, the B_3-arrangement is an example of the case that D_i's are not prime. Let D_1, D_2 and D_3 be divisors defined by $x_2^2(x_0^2 - x_1^2)$, $x_1^2(x_0^2 - x_2^2)$ and $x_0^2(x_1^2 - x_2^2)$, respectively. Their divisors can be written by $D_1 = 2H_1 + H_2 + H_3$, $D_2 = 2H_4 + H_5 + H_6$ and $D_3 = 2H_7 + H_8 + H_9$ where H_i's are hyperplanes. The arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_9\}$ is called the B_3-arrangement. Note that a weight λ induces the weight $(2\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_1, 2\lambda_2, \lambda_2, \lambda_2, 2\lambda_3, \lambda_3, \lambda_3)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ (cf. [Fa, Ka3]).

6.2. $n = 2$ **and** $s \geq 3$**.** We consider the pencil of cubic curves $F_{[a:b]} = a(x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3) + 3bx_0x_1x_2$. A generic element given by $a \neq 0$ and $b^3 \neq -1$ is non-singular. For s generic elements $D_1, \ldots, D_s$, we have $\dim H^1(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \geq s - 2$. Define non-generic elements $F_1 = x_0x_1x_2$, $F_2 = x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 - 3x_0x_1x_2$, $F_3 = x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 - 3\xi x_0x_1x_2$ and $F_4 = x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 - 3\xi^2 x_0x_1x_2$ where $\xi = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3}$. They are four sets of 3-lines and the set $\mathcal{A}$ of all lines is called the Hessian configuration, which is the arrangement of 12 lines passing through the nine inflection points of a nonsingular cubic. In this case, we know $\dim H^1(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = 2$ for a non-trivial weight λ with $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_i = 0$ ([Li]).

6.3. $n = 3$**.** Let $F_1 = x_0(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$, $F_2 = x_1(-x_0 + x_2 - x_3)$, $F_3 = x_2(-x_0 - x_1 + x_3)$ and $F_4 = x_3(-x_0 + x_1 - x_2)$. Then we can check divisors defined them satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1 and then $H^2(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \neq 0$ for a weight λ with $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_i = 0$. They yield the arrangement of 8 planes, whose underlying matroid is of type L_8 ([Ka3]).

6.4. **higher dimensional case.** Let $F_i = x_{i-1}^d - x_i^d$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $F_0 = x_n^d - x_0^d$. This support determines the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ of $(n+1)d$ hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Note that this is a projective closure of a subarrangement of the monomial arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{d,d,n+1}$ (see [OT, CS]). Since $\sum_{k=0}^{n} F_k = 0$, we have $H^{n-1}(M, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \neq 0$ for a weight λ with $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i = 0$. The $n = 2$ case was found in [CS]. Note that the underlying matroid of $\mathcal{A}$ is a degeneration of the matroid associated to the Latin n-dimensional hypercube given by the addition table for $(\mathbb{Z}_d)^n$ (see [Ka3]).

References

[A] K. Aomoto, Un théorème du type de Matsushima-Murakami concernant l'intégrale des fonctions multiformes, J. Math. Pures Appl. 52 (1973), 1–11.

[Ch] K. Cho, A generalization of Kita and Noumi's vanishing theorems of cohomology groups of local system, Nagoya Math. J. 147 (1997), 63–69.

[CDO] D. C. Cohen, A. Dimca, and P. Orlik, Nonresonance conditions for arrangements, Ann. Inst. Fourier 53 (2003), no.6, 1883–1896.

[CS] D. Cohen, and A. Suciu, Characteristic varieties of arrangements, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 127 (1999), 33–54.

[De] P. Deligne, Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers, Lecture Notes in Math. **163** (1970).

[Di] A. Dimca, Sheaves in topology, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xvi+236 pp.

[ESV] H. Esnault, V. Schechtman and E. Viehweg, Cohomology of local systems on the complement of hyperplanes, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), 557–561; Erratum **112** (1993), 447.

[Fa] M. Falk, Arrangements and Cohomology, Annals of Comb. 1 (1997), 135–157.

[FT] M. Falk and H. Terao, βNBC-bases for cohomology of local systems on hyperplane complements, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no.1, 189–202.

[H] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, GTM 52, Springer, 1977.

[Ha] A. Hattori, Topology of $\mathbb{C}^n$ minus a finite number of affine hyperplanes in general position, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. **IA 22** (1975), 205–219.

[Ka] Y. Kawahara, The twisted De Rham cohomology for Basic Constructions of Hyperplane arrangements and its applications, Hokkaido Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34, No.2 (2005), 489–505.

[Ka2] Y. Kawahara, Vanishing and Bases for cohomology of partially trivial local systems on hyperplane arrangements, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 1907–1915.

[Ka3] Y. Kawahara, The non-vanishing cohomology of Orlik-Solomon algebras, MSRI Preprint 2005-004. `math.CO/0506421`.

[Ki] M. Kita, On vanishing of the twisted rational de Rham cohomology associated with hypergeometric functions, Nagoya Math. J. 135 (1994), 55–85.

[KN] M. Kita and M. Noumi, On the structure of cohomology groups attached to the integral of certain many-valued analytic functions, Japan. J. Math. 9 (1983), no.1, 113–157.

[Ko] T. Kohno, Homology of a local system on the complement of hyperplanes, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 62 (1986), 144–147.

[Li] A. Libgober, Characteristic varieties of algebraic curves, Applications of algebraic geometry to coding theory, physics and computation (Eilat, 2001), 215–254, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 36, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.

[LY] A. Libgober and S. Yuzvinsky, Cohomology of the Orlik-Solomon algebras and local systems, Compositio Math. 121 (2000), no.3, 337–361.

[OT] P. Orlik, and H. Terao, Arrangements of Hyperplanes, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 300, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[OT2] P. Orlik, and H. Terao, Arrangements and Hypergeometric integrals, MSJ, Mem. vol.9, Math. Soc. Japan, (2001).

[STV] V. Schechtman, H. Terao and A. Varchenko, Local systems over complements of hyperplanes and the Kac-Kazhdan conditions for singular vectors, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **100** (1995), 93–102.

[Yu] S. Yuzvinsky, Cohomology of the Brieskorn-Orlik-Solomon algebras, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 5339–5354.

[Yu2] S. Yuzvinsky, Realization of finite abelian groups by nets in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Compositio Math. 140 (2004), no. 6, 1614–1624.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Minami-Ohsawa 1-1, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

E-mail address: `ykawa@comp.metro-u.ac.jp`